Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for September 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, September 2016*

	Docket Total		Favorable Votes	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Non-Violent	143	62.2%	30	21.0%
Violent	87	37.8%	0	0.0%
TOTAL	230	100%	30	13.0%

^{*} Ineligible not included in analysis (24 or 9.5%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=230)

	Non-Violent (143)		Violent (87)	
	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent	Number of Favorable Votes	Percent
Vanessa Price (Chair)	39	27.3%	2	2.3%
Patricia High (Vice-Chair)	27	18.9	0	0.0
Robert "Brett" Macy				
Thomas Gillert	32	22.4	1	1.1
William Latimer	39	27.3	4	4.6
BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL	30	21.0%	0	0.0%

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=230)

	Victim	District Attorney	Delegates Supporting the Offender
Non-Violent	1	17	18
Violent	0	17	0
TOTAL PROTESTS	1	34	18

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=30)

	Number	Percent
Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs)	24	80.0%
Substance Abuse Treatment	22	73.3
Interlock Device	15	50.0
Sober Living Facility	12	40.0
Cognitive Behavioral Treatment	7	23.3
Psychological Counseling	6	20.0
Community Level/Work Release	5	16.7
Consecutive Sentence	5	16.7
Anger Management	2	6.7
Education/GED	2	6.7
Batterer's Program	1	3.3
Battle Buddies	1	3.3
Detainer	1	3.3
Seeking Safety	1	3.3
Thinking for a Change	1	3.3
Tribal Re-Entry Program	1	3.3

^{*}The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post-release while on parole. Limitations may include urinallysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender (n=30)

	Number	Percent
One	6	20.0%
Two	0	0.0
Three	7	23.3
Four	7	23.3
Five	9	30.0
Six	1	3.3
Total	30	100%
Average Number of Conditions (per offender)	3.5	
Range	5	