## Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for September 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, September 2016*

|  | Docket Total |  | Favorable Votes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Violent | 143 | $62.2 \%$ | 30 | $21.0 \%$ |
| Violent | 87 | $37.8 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ |

* Ineligible not included in analysis (24 or 9.5\%)

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=230)

|  | Non-Violent (143) |  | Violent (87) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent |
| Vanessa Price (Chair) | 39 | $27.3 \%$ | 2 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Patricia High (Vice-Chair) | 27 | 18.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Robert "Brett" Macy | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Thomas Gillert | 32 | 22.4 | 1 | 1.1 |
| William Latimer | 39 | 27.3 | 4 | 4.6 |
| BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=230)

|  |  | Victim | District <br> Attorney |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violent | Delegates <br> Supporting <br> the Offender |  |  |
| Violent | 1 | 17 | 18 |
| TOTAL PROTESTS | 0 | 17 | 0 |

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=30)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs) | 24 | $80.0 \%$ |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | 22 | 73.3 |
| Interlock Device | 15 | 50.0 |
| Sober Living Facility | 12 | 40.0 |
| Cognitive Behavioral Treatment | 7 | 23.3 |
| Psychological Counseling | 6 | 20.0 |
| Community Level/Work Release | 5 | 16.7 |
| Consecutive Sentence | 2 | 16.7 |
| Anger Management | 2 | 6.7 |
| Education/GED | 1 | 6.7 |
| Batterer's Program | 1 | 3.3 |
| Battle Buddies | 1 | 3.3 |
| Detainer | 1 | 3.3 |
| Seeking Safety | 1 | 3.3 |
| Thinking for a Change | 1 | 3.3 |
| Tribal Re-Entry Program | 2.3 |  |

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Offender ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ )

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One | 6 | $20.0 \%$ |
| Two | 0 | 0.0 |
| Three | 7 | 23.3 |
| Four | 7 | 23.3 |
| Five | 9 | 30.0 |
| Six | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.3 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| Average Number of Conditions (per offender) | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ |  |
| Range | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |

